DEC-22-2005 12:25 HEWLETT PACKARD 208 396 3958 P.10/12

Remarks/Arguments

This is a full and timely response to the final Office Action mailed October 31, 2005.

Upon entry of the amendments in this response, claims 1-3, 9-10 and 12-22 remain

pending. It is believed that no new matter is added by this response.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-3 and 21-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards the invention.

The Office Action indicates there is insufficient antecedent basis for the claim 1

limitation of "the user's personal collection of job images". The Office Action further

indicates there is insufficient antecedent basis for the claim 21 limitation of "the first

and second input" and "second web content".

Applicants have amended claim 1 and claim 21 so that all terms have sufficient

antecedent basis. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the 112 rejection of

claims 1-3 and claims 21-22 be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Office Action indicates that claims 1-3, 9-10 and 12-22 stand rejected under

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Fitzsimons et al., US Patent Publication

2003/0034991, hereinafter Fitzsimons. With respect to the pending claims,

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

In this regard, amended claim 1 (emphasis added) recites:

Case: 10007663-1

S/N: 10/080788

7

DEC-22-2005 12:26 HEWLETT PACKARD 208 396 3958 P.11/12

1. (currently amended) A method, comprising:

(a) providing a web client computer connected to a network, where the

client includes an application programmatic interface (API);

(b) receiving, at the client, web content from a remote web server

connected to the network, where the web content enables the client, in response

to user input, to select images and to create a composite image from the selected

images;

(c) issuing, by the web content, a device independent call to the API;

. (d) responding to the call;

wherein steps (b), (c) and (d) are performed while a user is logged into the

client;

wherein the responding step is performed by adding the composite image to

a collection of job images assigned to the user.

Applicants respectfully assert that Fitzsimons is legally deficient for the purpose of

anticipating claim 1, because Fitzsimons does not teach or otherwise disclose at

least the features/limitations emphasized above in claim 1. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully assert that the rejection is improper and requests that claim 1 be

placed in condition for allowance.

More particularly, and contrary to the Examiner's assertions, nowhere does

Fitzsimons discusses an API or WEB content that issues a device independent call

to an API. Fitzsimons, therefore, cannot teach the claim 1 Web content

(downloaded from a remote web server) that issues a device independent call to an

API of a client computer. Furthermore, nowhere does Fitzsimons teach the claim 1

step of responding to the device independent call by adding the composite image

to a collection of job images assigned to the user.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that the rejection of claim 1 should be

withdrawn.

Case: 10007663-1 S/N: 10/080789

DEC-22-2005 12:26 HEWLETT PACKARD 208 396 3958 P.12/12

With regard to independent claims 9, 15 and 18 it is respectfully submitted that these claims recite similar features as claim 1 and therefore these claims are not

anticipated by Fitzsimons.

Further, since the dependent claims incorporate all the feature/limitations of their respective independent claims, Applicants respectfully assert that these claims are also in condition for allowance. Additionally, these claims recite other

features/limitations that can serve as an independent basis for patentability.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that Applicants' pending claims are in condition for

allowance. No new matter has been added.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is

invited to call the undersigned attorney at (208) 396-5263.

Respectfully submitted, Shell S. Simpson

Date

Matthew L. Wade

Reg. No. 42,206

Case: 10007663-1

S/N: 10/080788